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Summary
This report describes experiments to study the burning of fires in a simple threll-ventilated enclosure. These, the first in a programme covering various aspects of this subject, were made in a one foot cube with ventilation through one side. The combustible material was wood in the form of a crib and the rate of burning was obtained by continuous weighing. Measurements were made of the flame height, the radiation from the opening and the flames. The effect of different crib designs and weights of wood on these was studied. Only for 1 inch wood, the largest section used, was the effect of crib design negligible in these particular experimental conditions. ! The results will be discussed in detail when further data from tests in larger models are available.

Fire Research Stationg Boreham Wood, HERTS.

PART I - SMALI SCAIE TESTS WIU'H CRIBS AND HIGH VENTILATION


## 1. Introduction

This report deals with certain aspects of the burning of fires in rooms, in particular the maximum rate of burning and the transfer of heat from the flames to the room above by radiation through the window. The combustible material consisted or sticks of wood built into a crib. This was done to obtain a standard fire for which the properties of total weight, surface area and internal ventilation could be independently varied. No attempt was made to study the growth of the fire, the object of this study being the fully developed fire burning at approximately constant rate. Small scale tests have been made in a 1 ft cube box. The work is continuing with similar boxes 2 ft and 3 ft cube and other "degrees of ventilation. The present report is, however, only concerned with the preliminary tests on the 1 'ft box. Later reports will contain a fuller discussion of the results, when the work on larger scales is completed.
2. Experimental Arrangements

### 2.1. Weight measurements

The burning box which is shown in Fig. 1 was suspended from the end of a steel' cantilever, the strain in which was a measure of the total weight.

The cantilever consisted of a $\frac{1}{2}$ in. square section of mild steel bar with 5 in. grouted into a wall and 49 in. projecting from the wall. Two resistance strain gauges were secured to the bar, one on the upper and the other on the lower face, both about 1 in. from the wall.

The gauges constituted the two arms of a Wheatstone bridge. In the other two arms of the bridge two fixed resistances and a variable resistance were used. In the position normally taken by a galvanometer, a D.C. amplifier was connected to a recorder. The circuit of the bridge is given in Fig. 2. The bridge could be balanced by a precision type single wire variable, resistance so that there was a stable output from the amplifier. With a constant test load this system gives a stable output to within $\pm 1 \%$ for at least 3 hours. A calibration curve of weight against output. (miv) for the cantilever is shown in Fig: 3: This is, as expected; a straight line. The overall response time of the equipment was less than one second.

## 2:2. Radiation measurements

During a fire the levels of radiation from the open side of the box, and from the flame above, were measured. The general arrangement of the apparatus is shown in Flg . 4 , Plates $\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{1}$ II. For the measurement of the radiation from the open side of the box, a copper asbestos disc radiometer (1) was used. The disc was located on the central horizontal axis of the model, and 6 in. in front of the plane of the open side. In order to obtain some measure of the radiation that would enter a room immediately above a burming room, the radiation from the flame above the box was measured by means of a copper asbestos disc and a bright gold disc 1 in. away in the same plane connected in opposition. This arrangement was found necessary to balance out the convective transfer from the hot gases passing over the box and on to the copper disc. The copper asbestos gold disc combination was calibrated against
in standard radiometer in front of a surface combustion radiation panel; the curve is shown in Figure 5. This disc combination was placed at a height of 6 in. above the top of the box in a plane parallel to the opening. and 2 in. behind it, and centrally with respect to the sides of the opening. A bright aluminium sheet was laid on top of the box to reduce the radiation, from the top.

### 2.3. Design of cribs

Table 1 shows the various designs of wood oribs used in these experiments. The sticks used in the experiments were all square sections and all pieces were 10 in. long.

## TABEE I <br> TYPES OF CREBS USED THF HPSSS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \text { Ib。 } \end{gathered}$ | Average Density of Wood $\mathrm{gri} / \mathrm{cc}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { in. } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | okin | Densit | ties |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 0.53 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.53 | 1 | 100 | 50 | 331 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.53 | 1 | 100 | 50 | 331 | 25 |  |
| 5 | 0.46 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 100 | 50 | $33^{3}$ |  |  |
| 3 | 0.46 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 100 | 50 | $33 \frac{1}{3}$ | 25 |  |
| $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0.46 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 100 | 50 | -331 | 25 | 20 |
| 5. | 0.40 | $\frac{3}{8}$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.43 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |

The packing densities given in Table 1 are computed as the thickness of a stick as a percentage of the spacing between sticks. Imus 100 indicates $1: 1$ spacing, that is the sticks are one thiokness apart; 50 a $1: 2$ spacing, two thichonesses apart, eto.

Pine (size 1 inde $\frac{1}{2} i n_{0}$ ) and beeah (size $\frac{3_{0}}{\frac{1}{4}}$ ) conditioned to equilibrium in air at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $65 \%$ relative humidity, were used.

### 2.4. Experimental Procedure

Before a test was started the box was hung on the cantilever and a large, luminous gas flame played on the inside so that the whole surface was heated for a period of $\frac{1}{2}$ hour. This was necessary to remove absorbed moisture from the asbestos wood. After heating; the box was allowed to cool for one hour; and then the crib was built inside in about $\frac{7}{4}$ hour. While it was being built; two pieces of metaldehyde were laid at the-centre of the base of the crib to provide a source of ignition. Immediately the crib was built it was ignited and continuous records were made of the loss of weight'; the radiation from the fire in the box, and the radiation from 1 the flame above the box.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Analysis of results

Figure 6 shows two typical loss of weight curves. In all the tests the central portion was practically a straight line. This part was used to calculate the maximum rate of loss of weight. Figures 7 and 8 show some typical radiation curves from flames above and from the open side 'of the box.

TuILS 2

| Tost | Type of Crib | Maximin | Marinum rate of | Maximan | Badtation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Packing Density P.D. and Orib Height H | lose of woight gr sea-1 | per unit area <br> pg om ${ }^{-2} \mathbf{2 s e O}^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fros } \\ \text { Opening } \\ \text { Cal our }{ }^{-2}{ }^{\text {geo }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { from flaso } \\ \text { above box } \\ \text { Cal ane }{ }^{2} \text { seo }-1 \end{gathered}$ | Flamo Haight aberve box an. |
| 28 | ```4100gm of 2.54 om wood FD = t00,H 25.4 On 2300ga of 2.54 am wood``` | 4.43 | 0.46 | 1.10 | 0.27 | 90 |
| $13 \pm 14$ | FD $=100$, H $\quad 14.6 \mathrm{am}$ | 3.25 | 0.56 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 53 |
| 18 | FD $=50, \mathrm{H} 19.0$ an | 3.63 | 0.57 | 1.10 | 0.17 | 45 |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD }=33 \mathrm{H} \text { H } 28.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & 1400 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 2.54 \\ & \text { on mood } \end{aligned}$ | 3.70 | 0.58 | 0.97 | 0.20 | 60 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{PD}=100, \mathrm{H} .8 .9 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 2.05 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.05 | 30 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{FD}=50$, H 11.5 cm | 2.12 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 0.07 | 30 |
| 26 | PD $=333$, H 15.2 os | 2.35 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 30 |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FD }=25, \mathrm{H} \quad 14 \\ & 2300 \mathrm{ge} \text { of } 1.27 \\ & \text { om mood } \end{aligned}$ | 2.35 | 0.57 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 30 |
| $7 \times 12$ | $\mathrm{PD}=100$; H 15.2 on | 3.47 | 0.29 | 0.90 | 0.19 | 60 |
| 20 \& 13 | $F D=50, \mathrm{H} \quad 23.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 4.92 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 75 |
| 21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FD }=33 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~B} \quad 30.5 \mathrm{co} \\ 1400 \mathrm{gn} \text { of } 1.27 \\ \text { on inood } \end{gathered}$ | 4.08 | 0.29 | 0.73 | 0.32 | 90 |
| 29 | FD $=100$, H 9.5 cm | 3.58 | . 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 60 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{FD}=50, \mathrm{H} \quad 12.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 4.93 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.18 | 75 |
| 31 | FD a 33, H 19.10 Om | 5.30 | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.21 | 90 |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FD }=25 \mathrm{~g} \quad 19.1 \text { on } \\ 700 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 1.27 \\ \text { on wood } \end{gathered}$ | 5.30 | $0.75$ | 0.60 | 0.20 | 75 |
| 37 | FD $=100$, H 5.1 cm | 1.90 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 23 |
| 33 | FD = 50, H 7.6 cm | 2.35 | 10.55 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 30 |
| 34 | FD $=331$, H 10.2 an | 3.25 | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.08 | 45 |
| 35 | $F D=25 . \mathrm{H} 12.7 \mathrm{co}$ | 3.33 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 45 |
| 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FD }=20 \mathrm{H} \quad 12.7 \text { ․ } \\ & 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 0.95 \\ & \text { on wood } \end{aligned}$ | 3.48 | $0.75$ | 0.60 | 0.09 | 30 |
| 11 | FD = 100, H 19 0n | 2.95 | 0.04 | 0.80 | 0.19 | 60 |
|  | 1400 gm of 0.95 oll mood. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $39 * 41$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FD }=331, H \quad 18.4 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 0.64 \end{aligned}$ | 4.17 | $0.31$ | 0.51 | 0.20 | 75 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 0.64 \\ \text { con wood } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} F D=100, \mathrm{H} \quad 170 \mathrm{om} \\ 1400 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 64 \\ \text { an mood } \end{gathered}$ | 3.40 | 0.11 | 0.80 | 0.16 | 60 |
| $40 * 42$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FD }=331 \mathrm{H}, 21.6 \text { on } \\ & 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 2.54 \\ & \text { am wood } \end{aligned}$ | 4.47 | $0.23$ | 0.47 | 0.23 | 90 |
| 38 | FD = 100, H 17.8 cm Baok and frint of box half open | 3.78 | 0.56 | 0.84 | 0.24 | 75 |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 2.54 \\ \text { on mood } \\ \mathrm{FD}=100, \mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{om} \end{gathered}$ On open board | 2.73 | $0.50$ |  |  | $75^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 2300 \mathrm{gm} \text { of } 1.27 \\ & \text { on wood } \\ & \text { FD }=100, \mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ <br> On oppen boand | 2.88 | $0.24$ |  |  | $100^{\text {² }}$ |

[^0]The maximum radiation intensities from the flame above the box and from the burning crib inside the box; are given together with the height of Plame in Table 2 .

The results given in Table 2: have - been subjected to a multiple regression analysis. For simplicity it was assumed that in the regression equations the rate of burning, the radiation levels, and the flame height were-linear with respect to packing density, stick size and weight of crib.

In calculating the rate of loss of weight per unit initial area, the area was calculated from
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=2 t 1\left\{\frac{1+\frac{200}{1 p}}{1+\frac{100}{p}}\right\} \times N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A=$ total exposed area of wood
$t=$ thickness of stick
$1=$ length of stick
$p=$ packing density
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of sticks
$=\left.100\right|_{x} \frac{\text { stick thickness }}{\text { distance between stic }}$ distance between sticks

Allowance is made in this formula for the unexposed area due to overlapping of sticks.

The signs with the significance levels in Table 3 show the direction of the effect i.e. they are the same gigns as in equations (i) (6). A somewhat surprising result was that the multiple correlation coefficient was larger for the radiation measurements than for the weight-loss, indicating that the assumption'cof linearity is more nearly correct for radiation than for the other factors.

## TABLE 3

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANGE, STANDARD DEVIATIONS AND MULTIFLE CORRELATION COEFPICIENTS FOR STATISTTCAL ANALYSIS

|  | Weight of. Crib | Thickness of Wood | Packing <br> Density | Multiple Correlation coefficient | Standard deviation 6 In original units | $\frac{6}{\text { mean }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate of loss of weight. | 1\% ( +ve ) |  |  | 0.59 | $1.1 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{sec}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.40 |
| Radiation from above box | 0.1\% (+ve) | 1\% (-ve) | 1\% (-ve) | 0.93 | $0.038 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{cm}^{-2 \mathrm{Eeo}}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.26 |
| Radiation Prom <br> front of box | 0.1\% (+ve) | 1\%. (+ve) | -- | 0.92 | $0.079 \mathrm{cal}^{\text {om }}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{seo}^{-1}$ | 0:10: |
| Height of Flame | 0.4\% (+ve) | 1\% ( -ve ) | 5\% (-re) | 0.81 | 14 cm | 0.25 |
| Rate of wt. Ioss per unit area | 0.1\% (-ve) | 1\% (+ve) | 50, (-ve) | 0.82 | $0.12 \mathrm{mgm} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{seo}^{-1}$ | 0.24 |

From the analysis the following regression equations were obtained:s
$\dot{u}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} W+1.4$
$r_{f}=0.1 \times 10^{-3} W=0.059 t-1.02 \times 10^{-3} P+0.14$
$r_{B}=0.14 \times 10^{-m W}+0.14 t+0.29$
$f^{n}=2.4 \times 10^{-2} W=17 t-(0!20 P)+56$
$m^{n}=0.14 \times 10^{-3 W}+0.19 t-0.16^{2} \times 10^{-2 P}+0.54$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}000000 \\ 000000 \\ 000000 \\ 000000 \\ 000000 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5\end{array}\right)$

Where
$x_{B}=$ maximum radiation from box cal. $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$
$u=$ maximum rate of weight loss $: \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{sec}$
W = initial weight of orib gm .
$t=$ thiokness of stick : cm.
$P=$ packing density $=100!x$ stick thickness
$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ maximum radiation from 'flames $::$ cal $\mathrm{cm}^{\infty} \mathrm{seco}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{m}^{\boldsymbol{\prime \prime}}=$ maximum rate of loss of weight per unit area mgm $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{P}=$ maximum flame height $\because \mathrm{cm}$ 。
The levels of significance of each term in the above equations, the stondard deviation and the multiple correlation coefficient are given in Table 3.

However, it will be seen from the data in colurms 2 and 4 of Table 2 that the burning rate per unit area for mrod is independent of packing density and total fire load. Strictly speaking, a statistical analysis should exclude 1 in. wood or include non-linear terms. This was not considered worth while at the present stage of the work. If we neglect the results for the largest crib which nearly filled the room and was clearly affected by this, we obtain for the maximum rate of burning per nominal unit area, the value $0.57 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{mgacm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$.

### 3.2. The duration of the fires

In Figure 9, the nominal time for which flames emerge from the windows has been plotted against the nominal duration, these times being defined as follows:-
(1) The flaming time is the time for which the flame radiation is above 5 per cent of its peak value.
(2) Nominal duration - the time it world take to convert all the wood to charcoal, calculated from the total weight and the maximum rate of burning. Since the rate of burning is approximately constant during the time for the weight to fall. from 90 to 20 per cent of the initial weight, the nominal duration is 1.43 times this interval.

Figure 9 indioates that these two times are direotly related and in practice both definitions are equivalent as definitions of effective duration. The time of growth is deliberately reduced in these tests to ensure that part of the orib is not fully burnt before all of it is alight. There is also some contribution to the total duration from the glowing combustion stage. The duration of these fires was for all cases less than $\frac{1}{4}$ hour. This is a consequence of the relatively, high ventilation.

### 3.3. Radiation results

The intensities of radiation from the flames $r_{f}$ and the box $r_{B}$ may be converted into radiation temperatures assuming them to behave as black bodies, i.e.

$$
T=:\left\{\frac{r_{B}}{\not \partial \sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

where $\emptyset=$ configuration factor with respect to opening in box
$\sigma=$ Stefan Boltzmamn constant $1.37 \times 10^{-12}$ cal ome $\operatorname{seo}^{-1} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-4}$
$T=$ absolute temperature
In the caloulation of the radiation temperatures of the flames above the box, the configuration factor $\phi$ was assumed to be. 1. The radiation temperature appears to be about $200^{\circ}$ : this value is low because there is insufficient thickness of flame to give black body conditions. The value of the effective total emissivity is therefore of order 0.035 assuming 9000 for the black body-temperature of the flame

Because the thickness of: flame is likely to increase with the flame height it is to be expected that the radiation from the flame above the box should be related to flame height. This is shown in Figure 10. The relation may be also seen from the equations (3) and:(5). The ratios of the coefficients of the three terms are 240 , 300, and 170 , which, allowing for the tolerances on each regression coefficient, are of the same order: The-black body temperatures for the box have been calculated from the radiation values given in Fig. (8) and they lie in the range $650^{\circ}-800{ }^{\circ}$.

### 3.4. Flame~height

.. The values of flame height have been plotted against the gross rate of burning in Figure"11. Although it is clear from equations (2) and (5) that their relation depends-on $t$ and $P$, it is interesting to observe that within the range of these experiments and the accuracy to which flame height was measured, a simple linear relation appears to hold good. . The value of the negative intercept on the flame height axis is about 20 cm. , which is in agreement with the view that real origin of the flame is within the lower half of the box. There are theoretical reasons for supposing that this relation is not strictly linear and these will be discussed in a later report.

### 3.5. Comparison with an open fire and miscellaneous tests

Three tests Nos: 38, 16 and 17, Table 2, were made to obtain some indication of the relative significance of ventilation in these experiments. 'In test No. 38 the top half of the front of the box was closed and the top half of the back of the box'opened. It was hoped by this means to provide greater mixing in the box and increase the circulation of air through it. In tests No. 16 and 17 the cribs were bailt and burnt on flat asbestos boards so that there was no restriotion on the air going into the sides of the orib such as may occur in the tests using the box. A comparison of the results of these tests with those for enclosed cribs shows that the rates of burning for enclosed cribs are higher by about $20 \%$ than for corresponding cribs in the open.

## Discussion

The open cribs burn more slowly than the enclosed cribs and this shows that the enclosed orib fires are heat and not ventilation controlled. This does not, however, explain all the results, for example, equation (4) shows that increasing the size of the crib increases the total burning rate and the radiation from the opening; it presumably also increases the level in the enclosure but the rate of burning per unit area $\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$ decreases as is shown by equation (6). This nay be because, for closely packed large cribs, increasing the size of the crib leads to little, if any, increase in the actual surface of wood exposed to heating the lower parts of the crit being shielded from the flames. Also, increasing the size of the orib reduces the amount of oxygen reaching unit area of wood surface. Burning will probably tend to occur more above the crib and less within the orib. This, too, would lower the heat transfer to the wood surface and tend to reduce $\cdot \mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$. This does not happen with oribs of 1 in. wood. - For these the vertical spaces are greater and the horizontal spaces, although varying with packing density, are greater absolutely for a given packing density than they: are for cribs of thimer sticks: These spaces are, presumably, lange enough for buming within the crib, not to be restricted by low ventilation or by low internal heating and the value of $\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is therefore constant.

The effect of increasing the stick thickness for a given total weight of wood is to decrease the surface area; and if the packing density is kept constant, to increase also the linear dimensions of the air spaces within the crib. This, ${ }^{1}$ also, increases the burning rate within the crib itself and raises the heat transfer rate to the wood surface. This might explain why the value of $\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$ (the maximum rate of loss of weight per unit area) and the radiation from the opening $x_{B}$ are increased as shown by equations (4) and (6). This effect appears to be large enough for the gross burning rate not to be affected significantly by changes in thickness. However, it is interesting to note that the amount of flaming does decrease (equations (3) and (5)).

These arguments suggest that increasing the wood thickness changes the position of the combustion zone so that more combustion occurs within the crib. This is supported by the increase of radiation from the box and-decrease in flame height and radiation that were found to follow an increase in wood thickness (equations ${ }^{(3)} 55(4)$ and (5)).

The effect of increasing the packing density is to reduce the spaces within the crib. All those quarities which reflect the rate of burning are therefore lowered unless the air spaces are large enough in the first place. This paoking density in the range examined here has no effect on the behaviour of cribs of 1 in. wood. A more detailed and quantitative assessment of these relationships will be made in a later report.

Although in general the flame height, the radiation from the opening and the flames, and the rate of burning per unit area are influenced by changes in the design of crib, the rate of burning for 1 in . wood is an exception. It is effectively constant with a value of $0.57 \mathrm{mgn}, \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ except for"a crib which nearly filled the box.

For these fires where the duration of the fire is unaffected by the fireload, the best value of the rate of burning for the 1 in . wood is $0.57 \mathrm{mgn} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The effective duration of flaming was about 10 mimates so that the result corresponds to about half the wood being involved in flaming combustion and half remaining as charcioal. It is important to note that the flame height is related mainly to the rate of burning. Although it is affected to some degree by the crib design, it provides a method of relating the hazard to a room above the fire with the rate of burning of the fire and a method for an observer to estimate the rate of burning of fires in a building.
5. Conclusions.

Some empirical relations have been obtained for relating the rate of burning, the radiation from the enclosure and the flames, and the flame height to the properties of the crib in an enclosure 1 ft cube.

Flame height is related mainly to the rate of burning and though cribupropierties affect this dependence they appear in these experiments to be of secondary importance.

The rate of burning per unit nominal area of wood appears to be constant for 1 in. sticks being independent of the total amount of wood and the spaing except. for the largest amount of wood where the height of the orib was too large to be considered small compared with the room height.
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$7 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes after ignition of crib.
Flames emerging


Maximum flaming about 2 ft high about $60 \%$ weight lost


At $8 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes about $30 \%$ weight lost


At 16 minutes
Flames dying down. Radiation from glowing wood near its peak about $0.5 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
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FIG. 1 DIAGRAM OF APPARATUS


FIG. 2 STRAIN GAUĠE CIRCUIT DIAGRAM

1/3329. F.R. 398 .



FIG. 4 EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT OF MODEL


FIG: 5 CALIBRATION CURVE FOR COPPER ASBESTOS.GOLD DISC
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FIG. 6 LOSS OF WEIGHT OF CRIB


FIG. 7 RADIATION FROM FLAMES
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FIG. 8 RADIATION FROM OPENING
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Flaming time $\underset{\approx}{ } 0.94$ duration

FIG. 9 DEFINITIONS OF DURATION


FIG. 10 PEAK FLAME ,HEIGHT AND RADIATION FROM FLAME ABOVE ROOM


FIG. 11 FI AMF HFIGHT AND RATE OF BURNING
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